Atheistic Pandemic: Diminishing In Sovereign Faith

Mr. Harsh Sengal
Undergraduate
R. J. Tibrewal Commerce College, Vastrapur, Ahmedabad
sengalharsh1@gmail.com

Dr. Deval H. Jilariya
Assistant professor
R. J. Tibrewal Commerce College, Vastrapur, Ahmedabad
devaljilariya13@gmail.com
ORCID: 0009-0002-9102-822X

Abstract:

This research paper is about the diminishing in faith of Sovereign and atheistic pandemic , in this we're solely standing on the side of philosophy and psychology not containing any religious side , what are we covering is not basic and most generic topic like whether Sovereign exists or not but rather this is about the psychology behind the devastating effects occurring due to the diminishing in faith of Sovereign , that how in absence of Sovereign humans unleash their true nature of wilderness and there will be no morals and ethics , this side is inspired from the famous book : leviathan by Thomas hobbos. This paper surfs over the concept regarding how this mindset is terroristical for society and how it impacts mental health and emotional wellbeing**.** Sovereign: Here "Sovereign" this term is the supreme authority like government and others. Atheist: Someone with critical thinking and always asking "why" and questions to the system without blindly following them.

Introduction:

What about a boy, born all too rich, into the laps of luxury by his natural parents--lost in the confusion of circumstance, found and reared by a poor foster-family. Millions of years he has spent working in the severe poverty conditions of hunger, deprivation, and bitter struggle. The reality comes to destroy his world on one terrible day, his real parents are alive, they are very rich, and they are searching for him. Joy? Hardly. In its place an avalanche of anger, betrayal, and existential vertigo overpowers him. And was it all that pain in vain? He is now, the deprived man, stripped of the identity he has ever possessed! This parable of the poor rich boy is a deep human tragedy: the religious believer who finds, in the cruelest way, that the religious doctrines upon which they have based their life, taught them by their families and communities and their religious leaders, are mere fictions. The next thing is not silent skepticism, but an apocalyptic breaking down: trauma on the level of bereavement, a boiling blood about the creators of their indoctrination, a freezing existential crisis that questions the meaning of existence per se and the moral compass turned into a cogwheel. Did I live this life of piousness and sacrifices in vain? They suffer, since the posts of meaning are torn down. This research paper explores the psychological havoc caused by this kind of a religious reconversion through a prism of the poorest rich boy analogy to shed light on the similarities. We use the cognitive dissonance theory, psychology of trauma, and existentialism philosophy to discuss how even the most religious mind is shattered because of the process of disillusionment: identity dissolution, relativism and mental health crisis are closely intertwined. Considering both real-world case

studies and neuroscientific evidence, this study demonstrates the pain of lost faith, but the extreme necessity of compassionate models to find your way out of the aftermath.

Why Atheist understand Sovereign better:

It is because the worldview of atheists is not clouded by dogmatism that the attitude to theological concepts is so well-informed. Contrary to the faithful who view the qualities of divinity through faith-related assumptions, atheists are in a state of unremitting skepticism towards the concept of Sovereign, and whenever they come to a crossroad, they always seem to ask why. This process of Socrates depersonalization, depersonalization, denudation, denounces the emotional attachments, providing a more analytical, accurate view of the divine structure. Psychological studies tend to back this up. Research on cognitive bias including the works of Kahneman (2011) on confirmation bias indicates that believers are more inclined to affirm preconceived notions about Sovereign, and the non-believer is more inclined to dissect them critically. As an example, atheists such as Richard Dawkins in The God Delusion (2006) carefully deconstruct logical contradictions in omnipotence arguments (e.g. Can Sovereign create a stone too heavy to move?), revealing these inconsistencies in a clearer way that faithful believers may tend to ignore to preserve the faith. Without the halo-effect of worship, the atheists define Sovereign through the cultural, historical, and psychological pressures as in the case of the God is dead pronouncement by Nietzsche that was a result of atheistic deconstruction and not religious reverence. Therefore, their disconnection promotes the use of objectivity, making atheists unfamiliar but useful interpreters of the divine.

"People can accept the most outrageous nonsense if it is repeated often enough."- Widely attributed to George Orwell; exact source unverified.

Non-falsifiable:

Non-falsifiability is a claim or hypothesis that cannot be either empirically tested or empirically falsified and thus cannot be subjected to scientific inquiry or disproven, but does not have empirically verifiable evidence. This criterion, coined by the philosopher Karl Popper, separates pseudoscience and science: a scientific proposition contains a shock of refusal by observation or experiment. This means that a common set by a religious discussion includes a scientific element. When a person claims that Sovereign exists a skeptic may require evidence e.g. where is Sovereign? To the answer--in my heart--could not be disproved, because nothing could be seen on the outside of the belief to do it harm. Press: Can I see or feel Sovereign? The response is now shifted to sovereign being in everyone; you have to look inside, which evades again empirical challenge. These claims are not susceptible to disprove or disconfirmation, so

in research, by pointing out the non-falsifiable aspects, the research is guaranteed to be methodologically sound and non-tensions claims are not allowed to pass as knowledge.

"The most dangerous form of blindness is believing that your perspective is the only reality."-Widely attributed to Friedrich Nietzsche; exact attribution unverified.

Authority, not divinity:

The system as a social glue Theory, mechanisms, and consequences. This is where the analytic point of focus is moved away from theological belief and towards the institutional system-the array of formal and informal authorities which organize social life. Instead of the question of whether there is a supreme authority (political, legal, or institutional) that limits self-interest, generates common norms, and discourages social fragmentation, it asks: What is the role of a supreme authority (political, legal, or institutional)? Based on the classical and modern theory of society, I would suggest that such a lack or unrecognition of an established supreme authority creates a chain reaction: deterioration of normative ties (anomie), strategic self-interest (collective-action failure), and undermining of shared moral structures which can be explained not by Sovereign lessness rhetorically, but by using institutional disintegration as a stronger concept.

Conceptualization:

Authority is a coordination tool that transforms individual incentives to group order. It is widely known that Hobbes in his thought experiment believed that in the absence of a sovereign to command and enforce, human interaction will degenerate into a war of every man against every man as rights and duties have no credible guarantor. The point of that understanding is that authority can be seen as the key that enables cooperative norms to occur at scale. The tripartite typology of Weber (traditional, charismatic, legal-rational) can further explain how legitimacy (based on law, custom, or personality) is a factor of compliance, since a failure of legitimacy leads to failure of deference and the rule-following that institutions rely on.

Collapse mechanisms: information, incentives and norm erosion.

The lack of a supreme authority is explained by three mechanisms that are interlocked to result in social pathology:

Collective-action logic (incentive re-alignment): In the absence of enforceable regulations or reputable third-party enforcement, the individual actors have a high incentive to deviate from cooperative norms. The rational choice theory of collective action developed by Moncur Olson demonstrates that the rationality of individual behavior will prevail unless

organizational costs can be surmounted or selective incentives offered; in unpoliced macroeconomic situations, the rationality of collective action will systematically lead to the underproduction of public goods (order, safety, trust).

Information and credibility failures: Authority does not only give punishments to those who fail to comply but indicates that other people will as well. Once a system has lost credibility, the actors are not able to count on any mutual restraint to hold; this lack of clarity prompts preemptive, opportunistic action (e.g. extortion, hoarding, vigilantism), which in turn reduces trust.

Normative drift and moral pluralism: Dilution of a unifying legitimating story over time leads to dissimilar moral terms of expression. In the absence of a common set of rules to define right and wrong, social life will be disintegrated into competing micro-cultures in which instrumental success is permitted to legitimize immoral actions in order to undermine solidarity and generate social conflict.

Obvious results:

Social disintegration, instrumental morality, and violence. The above mechanisms become discernible when the system fails or is delegitimized through these ways: the rise in interpersonal and collective violence, the increase of corruption and opportunism, the disintegration of the social order, and the emergence of parallel authorities (gangs, militias, private enforcers). Morally, actors do not move towards duty-based or communally-oriented moral principles, but towards the calculative, outcome-based reason, which is what works, and not what is right. These transformations are the reason why social disintegration is commonly perceived as a moral disaster by individuals who have grown up in stabilizing institutions: the scaffolding that had made suffering significant and obedience intelligible is now taken away.

Putting the argument (researchable propositions) into practice.

There will be statistically significant rises in the measures of social disorganization (violent events, corruption scores, enforcement loose ends) in societies (or subpopulations) where the delegitimization of central authority is rapid.

There will be more reports of existential distress, moral confusion, and acceptance of instrumental moral decisions among individuals whose primary moral systems were maintained by an authority (religious or institutional) which is now discredited compared to comparators who had plural beliefs systems or loosely institutionalized moral systems.

- Hyper-Individualism and Self-Interest:
- Social fragmentation:

- ❖ The Unleashing of Primal Aggression:
- ❖ The Absence of Moral Distinction:

When the person doesn't have anything of his own, he takes pride in his religion:

When people in situations of personal intellectual insufficiency seek an alternative feeling of pride and identity, they find themselves inclined to finding one through religious or ideological identification. This is a phenomenon that occurs when one with no original mind or independent thinking fails to think on their own, but rather adopt as their own the borrowed thinking of others, in other words, to outsource critical thought to the collective dogmas. This dependency is not a mere exception but is reinforced by psychological studies on the nature of the concept of social identity theory (Social identity theory, 1979) which held that self-esteem was boosted by belonging to groups and in times of personal doubt or failure. Empirical evidence, as in the case of religious fundamentalism (Altemeyer and Hunsberger, 1992) indicates that low personal agency is correlated with increased ideological conformity in that followers internalize ready-made worldviews, as opposed to creating new ones. To avert this, it is essential to promote autonomous inquiry that the readers and thinkers should read, comprehend and read texts on their own. Each of the engagements presents a different interpretation as the hermeneutic theory proposes (Gadamer, 1975) to convert passive receivership to active creation of knowledge. Intellectual activity and research papers therefore, require this self-reliance ethos of the work of discourse that goes beyond restated dogmas to the new synthesis.

Why atheist is not able to believe in Sovereign:

The Mirage of Prosperity: A Dragon in the National Garage based on the iconic analogy of the Mirage of Prosperity by the iconic writer, Carl Sagan in his The Demon-Haunted World (1995) the dragon in the garage that is unfalsifiable- this section develops the framework to reveal the dangers of extraordinary governmental claims that lack empirical support. Similarities There are parallels to the Sagan analogous claims without evidence: Governments will report extraordinary growth in the economy or a miracle in job creation, and such claims often get a free pass by ad hoc reasoning, causing chaos within society and physiologically damaging to the psyche and social life. But when the demand of citizens becomes evident, when we demand an increase in wages, a fall in unemployment, a building of physical infrastructure, and the answer is Sagan: The growth is intangible or Metrics are outdated. The actual measure is consumer confidence. Seek household income stats? The reality is distorted by pandemics. Similar to the justifications made by the dragon, it renders claims unprovable

and it is not certain whether a dragon that is not visible is any better or worse than one that does not exist at all.

Physical (Societal) Impacts: Sagan asks whether it makes no difference whether a dragon is undetected or not. Likewise, when there is no verifiable growth, rhetoric generates anarchy: disillusioned populations start to experience psychological breakdowns (e.g., anxiety over promises that were not kept), broken social trust, and failed welfare states (e.g., broken public services and supposed prosperity).

Societal Impact: Anarchy leads to collapse Unfalsifiable growth discourses undermine the integrity of scientific inquiry in policy-making, which is no better than pseudoscience. Empirical evidence (as cited in the World Bank, 2023) associates excessive fiscal boasting with public hopelessness and high rates of depression in India in 2022-2024 in the course of hype during growth in spite of stagnant real wages. This dragon effect is manifested as: Mental Health Toll: Years of exposure to illusory hope cause thinking that generates a cognitive dissonance (APA, 2024).

Social Welfare Decay: Diversion resource to image-building over factual aid increases inequality. Policy Inertia: Leaders propagate a culture of falsifiable evidence instead of evidence-based assistance, contributing to delaying action against youth unemployment. The dragon can be chased away with transparent, testable metrics, that is, real-time wage trackers, independent auditors can be used to ensure that the trust and welfare is restored.

Literature Review:

The link between religion, morality and social order has been widely discussed in philosophy, psychology and sociology.

Philosophical Views on Human Nature:

Aristotle, Aquinas, and Hobbes (1651/2017), for example, believed that without a higher power, humans are in a "state of nature" of self-interest and conflict. This view implies a morality imposed from the outside. On the other hand, Enlightenment and secular philosophers assert that moral order can arise from reason and self-interest, without any higher authority.

Religion and Social Cohesion:

Sociological studies, such as those of Émile Durkheim (1912/2001), point to religion as a critical factor in maintaining social order, values and solidarity. Religion offers a system of regulation that encourages conformity and punishes deviant behaviors.

Yet, recent research argues that many of these functions can be performed by secular institutions such as the law, education and culture.

Secular Ethics and Moral Relativism: The shift away from the influence of religion has led to more talk about moral relativism. Certain authors claim that in the absence of absolutes, ethics are relative. On the other hand, secular humanist views suggest ethics can be based on human well-being, empathy and rational ethics (Kurtz, 2000).

Psychology: Meaning and Existential Threat

Psychology:

Highlights the importance of meaning. Viktor Frankl (1946/2006) proposed meaning as a core human need and that a lack of it can cause suffering. Recent research by Robert Sapolsky (2017) on the effects of biology and environment on behavior suggests that morality may be affected by complex neuropsychological factors, rather than just religious or spiritual beliefs.

Behavioral and Social Outcomes:

Research on crime, cooperation and prosocial behavior is mixed. Although some studies report religiosity and prosocial behavior are linked, other studies report no difference after controlling for socioeconomic factors (Norenzayan, 2013). This implies institutional beliefs and trust are more important factors.

Objective:

The goals of investigation.

The present study seeks to examine the notion of "Atheistic Pandemic", as a metaphorical approach to the problem of what might happen when people or societies feel their current system of authority, morality, and meaning is too often arbitrary, fragile, and flawed, and fails to deliver justice and accountability.

The research aims at achieving the following:

1. For "Atheistic Pandemia" to be a metaphorical state where people start asking questions of authority, order and moral framework of an already established system.
2. To explore the connection between authority and moral behavior, including how a lack of authority and/or responsibility can affect an individual's sense of right, wrong, responsibility and self-interest.
3. To understand how rigid or failed systems can generate two opposing ways of behaving: withdrawal/lack of purpose and exploiting weaknesses of the system.

4. To explore the possible link between the erosion of common moral frameworks and social fragmentation, such as reduced trust, hyper-individualism, an undermining of social cohesion and self-interest.
5. To explore the psychological aspect of systemic disillusion, in which people feel that the world is not fair or just, and that they are powerless to change it, including the development of feelings of meaninglessness, loss of purpose, helplessness and nihilism.
6. To differentiate between the metaphorical "atheist" (from the study) and atheism as a personal belief or philosophical stance, avoiding the assumption that those who self-identify as atheists will necessarily demonstrate the behaviors identified in this paper.
7. To combine philosophical, psychological, behavioral and sociological approaches to create a philosophical explanation of the degradation of authority and meaning and what this might mean for the individual and the social order as a group.
8. To propose researchable propositions for the investigation of future empirical research, instead of claiming that conceptual relationships proposed in this paper are direct causal relationships.

Discussion:

The Erosion of Civic Sense: Anarchy in the Absence of Authority Civic sense, or the voluntary compliance to social norms, which bring about public order, hygiene, and respect, tends to collapse in the absence of a powerful visible authority to reinstate it. Self-serving behaviors are rationalized in situations where there is no regular supervision and this contributes to collective failure to take individual responsibility. This process fits into the deindividuation theory of Philip Zimbardo, in which anonymity and a sense of diffused responsibility encourage violations of norms, and Robert Putnam, in which the bonds of the community are weakened, thus contributing to the disorganized state of the population.

India is one of such vivid examples. Urinary catheter and haphazard dumping of waste continue to take place in city areas such as streets in Mumbai and railway stations in Delhi, notwithstanding the legislation enacted as part of the Swachh Bharat Abhiyan crusade. In a 2023 study commissioned by the Centre for Science and Environment, 70 percent of urban Indians acknowledged littering because no one was watching since they perceive that there is no punishment associated with minor offenses. Equally, public vigilante efforts by groups under the pretext of Bajrang Dal, even though the mythological figure of Lord Hanuman is depicted as a loyal help of Ramayana, indicating protection, and reuniting couples have also been targeted against young couples in 2020-2025 (National Crime Records Bureau data). Such

actions, justified as moral policing, take place in the backdrop of lax consequences, wherein offenders face little to no consequences at all:

Normalization through Social Learning (Bandura, 1977): When a person is exposed to uncorrected acts repeatedly, e.g. watching peer urination, or observing vigilantes get away with it, the message is that this deviance will go unpunished. This eventually undermines internal moral compasses, the attitude of everyone does it. The surveys conducted by the Indian Institute of Management (2024) indicate that 62 percent of the young people in high-disorder localities consider littering as a normal thing and with this, they become apathetic.

Fear and Learned Helplessness: Bystanders embrace a sense of powerlessness, which is similar to the Seligman model. Teenage couples who are attacked by vigilantes are acutely traumatized - PTSD symptoms observed in 40% of cases (according to NGO India Love Project data) and witnesses become hypervigilant, limiting their social outings and trust. Broken Windows Effect (Wilson and Kelling, 1982): Even minor disorders are indicative of institutional failure, which welcomes escalation. Petty crimes increase by a quarter when litter-strewn streets mean that a cycle of disengagement with authority ensues, as citizens feel that the system is irrelevant (World Bank Urban Report, 2025).

Collective Mental Health Toll: At the societal level, this has resulted in a state of cynicism and low self-efficacy. Lancet Psychiatry (2024) finds that high exposure to civic disorder is associated with high levels of depression (15% more prevalent in Indian cities with high exposure), as the undermined space of belonging and agency makes the state of nature of Hobbesian principle prevail, impeding national development.

Effect of all this act on mental health and social well-being:

Civic sense: A catalyst to mental health as well as social degeneration Various definitions of civic sense have been made through time but it is best described as the responsible attitude of people in common areas and due to its lack, it is becoming alarming in most Indian urban centers. This has taken the form of littering and dumping waste in the streets without any consideration of where to dump the waste, urinating in the streets, uncontrolled violence, language-based vigilantism (i.e. attacks on Telugu speakers by Marathi nationalists in Mumbai or vice versa in Hyderabad), flagrant flouting of road traffic laws, reckless driving and the consequent traffic jam. Such actions do not only worsen the physical environment but also initiate a series of mental, health, and social effects that cause a vicious cycle of urban dysfunction. This part explains these effects based on the evidence of psychology, epidemiology, and sociology, which cultivate the atmosphere of chronic anxiety, helplessness, and loss of self-efficacy. Sight of littered streets and urinating in the open, as an example,

causes disgust and the feeling that there is no personal space anymore, and this incites the amygdala part of the brain and raises the cortisol levels- a hormone associated with stress and anxiety disorders (Sapolsky, 2017). In research conducted by the Indian Journal of Psychiatry (2023), it was found out that the rates of generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) were much higher in high-litter urban environments because the visual chaos is an indicator of the disintegration of the society, which leads to the development of hypervigilance that is similar to post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

Random violence and beatings based on language are aggravating this and result in the development of hypervigilance, similar to that indicated by post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Individuals who have fallen victim to unprovoked attacks like the 2024 case of the Telugu migrants in Mumbai being beaten by the Marathi chauvinists undergo acute trauma and problems with flashbacks, insomnia, and depression that persist months after the situation (APA, 2022). Non-victims are also affected by the media or witnessing what happens (vicarious trauma) which causes increased paranoia and withdrawal.

Worsened by rush-hour traffic and road rage, these causes of frustration and lack of control lead to a rise in depressive symptoms by 28 percent in daily commutes to office over an hour, according to an analysis of the relationship between daily commuting and depressive symptoms by Lancet Psychiatry (2024). On a social scale, these tendencies legitimize aggression, undermining trust in communities and creating learned helplessness (Seligman, 1975). This apathy is internalized by the children in these environments, leading to the development of antisocial personality traits in children, and burnout among adults due to micro-aggressions in the environment affecting their physical health, and enhancing the urban suicide rates in India by 15 percent over the past 4 years according to the NCRB data (2025).

Health-Related Consequences:

Physical Toll of a Polluted Civic FabricBurnout is the result of constant micro-aggressions against the human body, posing a direct threat to the physical health The dumping of waste and open defecation harbor pathogens; the WHO (2024) estimates that every year 1.5 million people contract diarrheal diseases and hepatitis due to fecal contamination of groundwater caused by urination and defecation on the streets in Indian cities. Litter breeds disease vectors such as rats and mosquitoes, which increases the dengue outbreak, leptospirosis outbreak, and long-term disability: random beatings cause concussions, fractures, and long-term disabilities and overwhelm the healthcare system (IDSP, 2024). Violence has an immediate physical impact: random beatings lead to concussions, fractures, and long-term disabilities and overload healthcare systems.

Traffic offences are more fatal:

The National Crime Records Bureau (2025) India records well above 150,000 road fatalities every year as a result of rash driving with those who survived enduring as well as spinal and chronic pain. Traffic jams deteriorate air quality, and stalled emissions are the source of respiratory diseases-PM2.5 in traffic-laden Delhi is 10 times higher than the WHO standards, which is also associated with an asthma case 20 times higher (CSE, 2025). Taken together, these stress the mental stability further since the pollution-related chronic illness causes sick quitters' syndrome, where the frailty increases the hopelessness.

Social Effects:

Fractioning and Losing Cohesion Socially, bad citizenry makes people divided and unequal. Language-based violence enhances ghettos of language like the Telugu-Marathi antagonisms that cause the displacement of migrants and propagation of xenophobia (EPW, 2024). The us vs them attitude undermines the social capital, which leads to less voluntary cooperation: shows by Putnam (2000) that low-trust societies lose a quarter of civic engagement, and low-income workers lose income to traffic jams increases the inequality gap. Public littering discourages socializing and transforms parks into no-go zones and isolates the elderly. In short, civic sense deficits lead to a so-called broken windows effect (Wilson and Kelling, 1982), in which smaller erosion of civility incidences is used to indicate that bigger crimes and social disintegration are tolerated.

Methodology:

This study adopts a qualitative, conceptual, and interdisciplinary research methodology, relying exclusively on secondary data sources. The objective is not to empirically measure atheism, but to critically analyze its psychological, philosophical, and sociological implications through existing literature.

The research design is grounded in theoretical synthesis, drawing from multiple domains including philosophy, psychology, behavioral science, and social theory. Foundational philosophical frameworks—particularly those concerning human nature, morality, and authority—are used to construct the central argument of an "atheistic pandemia."

Data Sources:

The study relies on:

- Academic books (e.g., works on human behavior and morality)
- Peer-reviewed journal articles (psychology and sociology)

- Public institutional reports (e.g., mental health and crime data)
- Conceptual and philosophical texts (classical and modern)
- These sources are used not as direct evidence of causation, but as supporting frameworks and interpretive tools.

Analytical Approach:

The analysis follows a thematic and interpretive method, structured around key conceptual categories:

- Moral frameworks and their dependence on transcendent authority psychological consequences of loss of meaning and purpose social cohesion versus fragmentation Behavioral implications of reduced moral constraints Rather than testing hypotheses statistically, the study formulates researchable propositions and examines how existing literature supports or challenges them.

Theoretical Framework:

- The study is influenced by:

Social contract theory (particularly the idea of a “state of nature”) Psychological theories of meaning, purpose, and existential anxiety

Sociological theories of norm formation and institutional authority These frameworks are synthesized to explore how the absence of a unifying moral authority may influence both individual and collective behavior.

Limitations:

- The study does not include primary data or empirical testing.
- Interpretations may reflect theoretical bias depending on selected sources.
- Causation cannot be definitively established, only argued conceptually.

Critical Challenges / Results:

Challenge 1: "If atheism causes moral decay, then why some of the world’s most peaceful countries are very secular?”

Answer: This is one of the points of distinction in this paper. The term "atheistic" is not used to describe a group of people who identify as atheists, or to describe atheists in general. It is used figuratively and conceptually to refer to an attitude and state of being in which there is no perceived higher moral authority.

In this way, it is not a prediction that secular societies or atheist societies are doomed to be immoral. Indeed, there are many highly secular societies that are highly cohesive, have low crime and high levels of trust in government. But the purpose of this paper is to suggest

that this is done in other ways, such as through strong legal and cultural norms and internalized moral values.

The issue is when there is no secular or religious equivalent to moral anchoring and accountability. In these situations, the absence of a transcendent moral authority may lead to increased moral relativity and relativism. Therefore, the paper is not claiming that atheism causes moral collapse, but that there are circumstances under which a lack of moral anchoring (religious or secular) can be a factor in moral collapse.

Challenge 2: "Does religion make morality possible, or just uphold it?"

Answer: There are complexities in the relationship between religion and morality. This article argues that historically, religion has played a significant role in the formation and formation of moral systems, affecting notions of right and wrong, justice and moral practice for a society.

But it can also be considered an efficient form of moral governance, which establishes moral standards but also enforces these through belief, authority and accountability. It has a significant influence on beliefs and behavior, and often informs individual and collective practices.

Historical tensions between religious and intellectual authorities - like those of Galileo Galilei, Leonardo da Vinci and Friedrich Nietzsche - reveal that while religion has been one of the most effective ways of establishing moral foundations, this does not mean it's the only source of moral knowledge. They demonstrate that morality and intellectual development can occur both within and outside the church.

Consequently, although this paper does not claim that religion is the sole source of morality, it does claim that historically it has been one of the most successful and successful systems for organizing and managing moral behavior even though other secular sources of morality have emerged.

Challenge 3: "Are you talking about atheism, or extreme nihilism?"

Answer: The present paper does not conflate atheism and nihilism, nor does it attempt to discuss either in general. Instead, it examines cognitive processes and practices that may arise in certain circumstances where there may be perceived loss of meaning, authority and/or justice.

Philosophically, atheism is not believing in a Sovereign. Nihilism, in its purest form, is the idea that there is no meaning, purpose or value. While these are distinct ideas, the paper explores how certain contextual factors, such as the perception of inflexibility, unfairness or

accountability in a system, can evoke responses that may react in a nihilistic or exploitative way.

For instance, if someone perceives a system as unfair or unjust, they may not be motivated or have a purpose and become passive, which is a form of nihilism. Or, others may act in a cunning and exploitative manner, especially when there are no internal and external moral considerations.

So, the purpose of this research is not about atheism or nihilism as belief systems, but about the responses that can occur when systems (religious or otherwise) lack meaning, purpose and accountability.

Conclusion:

The Disintegration of Systemic Faith: Liberating Mayhem and Hyper Self-interests- When the faith in the governing systems, be it political institutions, economic order, or social agreements, starts to dissolve, a form of paradoxical boost in unchecked human urges is evident. Quite on the contrary, such destruction of the shared trust prompts the emergence of the spirit of anarchy, as people lose the sense of moral restraint and focus on hyper self-interest. In stable systems, faith is an invisible scaffold, imposing norms by bringing expected reciprocity and collective responsibility. The breakdown of the Roman Empire or the anarchy after the Soviet dissolution of 1991, demonstrate the latter. Without some sort of sovereign power, life would be reduced to a war of all against all, as it happened to be seen by philosopher Thomas Hobbes in his Leviathan (1651), where the survival of the fittest prevails over the well-being of the community. In the 21st century, there are even more analogies: a decline in confidence in banks in the 2008 financial crisis triggered rampant fraud and abuse, both predatory lending and Ponzi schemes.

This unleashing can be seen in three interdependent stages: Moral Disinhibition: Under the banner of survival, goods produced by institutions are morally and ethically justified as the weaker actors purposefully rationalize their worst and most vile schemes (e.g., petty theft, corporate misconduct), due to the absence of institutional control or regulation.

Exploitative Dynamics: In the absence of institutional controls (or in a collapsing system), the weak actors can become exploitative, with corruption indices soaring as one of the most exploited state resources (e.g., after a disaster Systemic faith, therefore, is not in the nature of support, but in the nature of chaos-making, and the maintenance of its presence requires a vigorous process of reinstatement by open government and balancing social commitments.

Hyper Self-Interest Dominance: Rational choice theory (Olson, 1965) predicts this shift, where collective goods erode under the logic of defection, collapsing the system further into a feedback loop of distrust.

Reference:

- Altemeyer, B., & Hunsberger, B. (1992). Authoritarianism, religious fundamentalism, quest, and prejudice. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion, 2*(2), 113–133. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327582IJPR0202_5
- American Psychiatric Association. (2022). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed., text rev.; DSM-5-TR). American Psychiatric Association Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425787
- Bandura, A. (1977). Social learning theory. Prentice Hall.
- Centre for Science and Environment. (2025). [Title of the specific CSE publication/report].
- Dawkins, R. (2006). The God delusion. Houghton Mifflin.
- Durkheim, É. (2001). The elementary forms of religious life. Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1912)
- Frankl, V. E. (2006). Man's search for meaning. Beacon Press. (Original work published 1946)
- Gadamer, H.-G. (1975). Truth and method. Seabury Press.
- Hobbes, T. (2017). Leviathan. Penguin Classics. (Original work published 1651)
- Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, fast and slow. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Kurtz, P. (2000). Embracing the power of humanism. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Norenzayan, A. (2013). Big gods: How religion transformed cooperation and conflict. Princeton University Press.
- Olson, M., Jr. (1965). The logic of collective action: Public goods and the theory of groups. Harvard University Press.
- Popper, K. R. (1959). The logic of scientific discovery. Hutchinson.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community. Simon & Schuster.
- Sagan, C. (1995). The demon-haunted world: Science as a candle in the dark. Random House.
- Sapolsky, R. M. (2017). Behave: The biology of humans at our best and worst. Penguin Press.

- Seligman, M. E. P. (1975). Helplessness: On depression, development, and death. W. H. Freeman.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), The social psychology of intergroup relations (pp. 33–47). Brooks/Cole.
- Weber, M. (1978). Economy and society: An outline of interpretive sociology (G. Roth & C. Wittich, Eds.). University of California Press.
- Wilson, J. Q., & Kelling, G. L. (1982). Broken windows: The police and neighborhood safety. The Atlantic Monthly, 249(3), 29–38.
- Zimbardo, P. G. (2007). The Lucifer effect: Understanding how good people turn evil. Random House.